



CLAIM SCENARIOS

EMPLOYED LAWYERS LIABILITY

Scenario One

The Securities and Exchange Commission charged a General Counsel with violations of federal securities laws, including Sarbanes-Oxley. It is alleged that the employed lawyer participated in the filing of public documents that were untrue. It is alleged that certain loans and stock options, in an amount alleged to be material, were made to a director of the company but not disclosed to the public in the company's quarterly or annual report as required by law. The attorney faces up to \$1M in fines and disbarment from the SEC for the Sarbanes-Oxley violation, alone.

Scenario Two

An in-house attorney for a company drafted a contract between the company and client. Years later, the attorney was sued by the client. The client alleged that the attorney did not advise them that he was a corporate lawyer representing the company and, therefore, he had a conflict of interest when the contract was drafted. Client demanded nearly \$2M in damages.

Scenario Three

A corporate attorney prepared certain required SEC filings, as well as a press release to the general public concerning the financial condition of the corporation. Two separate class actions were brought against the corporation and several of its officers, including the corporate attorney, alleging the documents were misleading and deceptive and in violation of federal securities law. Subsequently, the SEC brought a separate action against the corporate attorney proposing charges against him relating to the same SEC filings and the press release.

Scenario Four

The general counsel of a company handled the CEO's divorce. The

ex-spouse discovered that the CEO had concealed assets during the divorce proceedings and sued the CEO and the general counsel for over \$1M for conspiring to conceal the assets.

Scenario Five

A New Jersey in-house transaction attorney defended a domestic violence case under the mandatory pro bono requirement. The defendant was convicted. He sued the attorney for \$5M for malpractice, alleging ineffective assistance of counsel.

800.873.4552 | **PHLY.com**

The PHLI *Difference*

Philadelphia Insurance Companies is the marketing name for the insurance company subsidiaries of the Philadelphia Consolidated Holding Corp., a Member of the Tokio Marine Group. Your insurance policy, and not the information contained in this document, forms the contract between you and your insurance company. If there is a discrepancy or conflict between the information contained herein and your policy, your policy takes precedence. The claim scenarios described herein are for illustrative purposes only and should not be compared to any other claim. Coverage for a specific loss will vary based on the details of the loss, the policy terms and conditions, and applicable law. All coverages are not available in all states due to state insurance regulations. Certain coverage(s) may be provided by a surplus lines insurer. Surplus lines insurers do not generally participate in state guaranty funds and insureds are therefore not protected by such funds. | © 2024 Philadelphia Consolidated Holding, All Rights Reserved.

